



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,402	11/03/2003	Jean-Louis Escary	60711.000025	2689
21967	7590	12/29/2005	EXAMINER	
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1647
DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,402	ESCARY, JEAN-LOUIS	
	Examiner Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D	Art Unit 1647	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-38,48-52 and 54-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 39-47 and 53 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/04 & 3/11/04.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: Appendix A & B1-2.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group 3 (claims 39-47 and 53) drawn to polypeptides encoded by single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and therapeutic agents comprising polypeptides encoded by SNPs. Applicants have provisionally elected Group J, drawn to g798c SNP also with traverse. Applicants have also provisionally with traverse elected Group O, drawn to polypeptides encoded by SNPs leading to the amino acid change C122S in the reply filed on 9/29/2005. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no search burden on the Office because of the overlapping subject matter and class/subclass. This is not found to be persuasive because nucleotide sequence comprising Group 1 and each amino acid sequence comprising Groups 3 and 4 (including antibodies directed to the polypeptides) is a unique sequence requiring a unique search of the prior art. Polynucleotides listed in Groups 1 are composed of different nucleic acids, suggesting that each encodes a different polypeptide. Further, each polypeptide listed in Groups 3 and 4 is different and is composed of different amino acids, suggesting that each is different polypeptide with diverse functional and structural features. Searching all of the sequences in a single patent application would provide an undue search burden on the Examiner and the USPTO's resources because of the non-coextensive nature of these searches. Applicant has not provided evidence to demonstrate that the polynucleotide and polypeptide sequences are patentably *indistinct* from one another. Therefore, the Examiner has deemed the polynucleotides of Group 1 and the polypeptides of Groups 3 and 4 are independent and distinct inventions,

each from one another. Furthermore, Applicants assert that because several groups (e.g. Groups 5 and 6) share the same class/subclass that they contain overlapping subject matter and that it would not be a serious search burden on the Office. This is not found to be persuasive because although the groups are classified in the same class and subclass, they are directed to different methods steps that require different searches, thus providing an undue search burden on the Examiner and the USPTO.

Further, with respect to Applicants assertion that restriction between the various SNPs of the interferon nucleotide is inappropriate because they share the same utility and share substantial structural feature is not found to be persuasive because changes made at the 11 positions will result in 11 different nucleotide sequences. This will require 11 different searches, thus creating a search burden for the examiner and the Office. Furthermore, with respect to Applicants assertion that restriction between the various SNPs of the interferon polypeptide are inappropriate because they share the same utility and share substantial structural feature is not found to be persuasive because changes made at the 3 amino acid positions will result in 3 different polypeptide sequences. This will require 3 different searches, thus creating a search burden for the examiner and the Office. In addition, claim 53 will be examined to the extent that reads on the instant invention (ex. Polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 and C122S SNP). The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Thus claim 39-47 and 53 (in part) will be examined.

Priority

2. Applicant is reminded that in order for a patent issuing on the instant application to obtain the benefit of priority based on priority papers filed in parent Application No. PCT/EP02/05458 filed 5/2/2002, which claims the benefit of French Patent Application No. 01/05919, filed May 03, 2001 under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), a claim for such foreign priority must be timely made in this application. To satisfy the requirement of 37 CFR 1.55(a)(2) for a certified copy of the foreign application, applicant may simply identify the application containing the certified copy.

Oath/Declaration

3. Applicant has not signed the instant oath/declaration. It was not executed in accordance with either 37 CFR 1.66 or 1.68.

Drawings

4. The drawings submitted on 11/03/03 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The IDS filed 1/13/2004 and 3/11/2004 have been considered.

Specification

6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 53 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 53 contains subject matter not elected by the Applicant. Claim 53 needs rewritten

limiting the reference to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8a. Claims 39-47 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This is a written description rejection.

The specification discloses Q28R, Q70E and C122S of SEQ ID NO: 2 (interferon- α 5) substitutions at wild-type positions generate SNPs. This meets the written description of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. However, the specification does not disclose all possible variants (resulting in amino acid residue changes generating 90% -99% homology) of interferon- α 5. Applicants have claimed a genus of polypeptides that have no common function (interferon- α 5 has antiviral effects and anti tumoral activity etc.). It is not clear what substitutions will retain common functions. Furthermore, the specification fails to disclose if a polypeptide with 90-99% homology containing SNPs Q28R, Q70E and C122S of SEQ ID NO: 2 will be functionally similar to wild type containing the SNP. The specification also fails to disclose the mature and the immature forms of the polypeptide and

the biological activity conferred by such a polypeptide of the instant invention. To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of compete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claim is a partial structure in the form of a recitation of SEQ ID number and the percent identity required. There is not even identification of any particular portion of the structure that must be conserved. The claims as written, however, encompass interferon- α 5 variant sequences which were not originally contemplated and fail to meet the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph because the written description is not commensurate in scope with the recitation of claims 39-47 and 53. Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the *invention*. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed" (See *Vas-Cath* at page 1116).

With the exception of isolated interferon- α 5 polypeptide with substitutions for example, at wild-type positions Q28R, Q70E and C122S of SEQ ID NO: 2 the skilled artisan cannot envision all the detailed chemical structure of the claimed

polypeptides (with up to 90% identity), regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation.

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it. The polypeptide itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016. One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481, 1483. In *Fiddes v. Baird*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found unpatentable due to lack of written description for the broad class.

Therefore, only the isolated interferon- α 5 polypeptide with substitutions at wild-type positions Q28R, Q70E and C122S of SEQ ID NO: 2 but not the full breadth of the claims (with all possible amino acids changed) meets the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The species specifically disclosed are not representative of the genus because the genus is highly variant. As a result, it does not appear that the inventors were in possession of various polypeptide sequences set forth in claims 39-47 and 53.

Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 USC 112 is severable from its enablement provision. (See page 1115.) Applicants are directed to the Revised Interim Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 64, No. 244, pages 71427-71440, Tuesday December 21, 1999.

8b. Claims 39-47 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an interferon- α 5 variant, with substitutions such as C122S of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the wild type protein which has

antiviral activity (see Figure 3 of the specification), the disclosure does not reasonably provide enablement for all variants of interferon- α 5 (up to 90%) contemplated and which have any and all interferon- α 5 type activities.. In addition, it is also unclear what activity if any will be associated or retained with the specific interferon- α 5 variants including the mature and the immature forms. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The test of enablement is not whether any experimentation is necessary, but whether, if experimentation is necessary, it is undue. See *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d at 737, 8 USPQ2d at 1404. The factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue" include, but are not limited to: (1) the breadth of the claims; (2) the nature of the invention; (3) the state of the prior art; (4) the level of one of ordinary skill; (5) the level of predictability in the art; (6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor; (7) the existence of working examples; and (8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

Despite knowledge in the art for producing variants of a given polypeptide with amino acid deletions, insertions or substitutions the specification fails to provide any guidance regarding the changes/modifications contemplated and yet retain the function(s) of the interferon- α 5 variants claimed. Furthermore, detailed

information regarding the structural and functional requirements of the disclosed variant protein is lacking. Although it is accepted that the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide determines its structural and functional properties, predicting a protein's structure and function from mere sequence data remains an elusive task. The problem of predicting protein structure from sequence data and in turn utilizing predicted structural determinations to ascertain functional aspects of the protein is extremely complex. While it is known that many amino acid substitutions are generally possible in any given protein the positions within the protein's sequence where such amino acid substitutions can be made with a reasonable expectation of success are limited. Certain positions in the sequence are critical to the protein's structure/function relationship, e.g. such as various sites or regions directly involved in binding, activity and in providing the correct three-dimensional spatial orientation of binding and active sites. These or other regions may also be critical determinants of antigenicity. These regions can tolerate only relatively conservative substitutions or no substitutions (see Wells, 1990, Biochemistry 29:8509-8517; Ngo et al., 1994, The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, pp. 492-495). However, Applicant has provided little or no guidance beyond the mere presentation of sequence data to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to determine, without undue experimentation, the positions in the protein which are tolerant to change (e.g. such as by amino acid substitutions or deletions), and the nature and extent of changes that can be made in these positions. Although the specification outlines art-recognized procedures for producing and screening for active variants, this is

not adequate guidance as to the nature of active derivatives that may be constructed, but is merely an invitation to the artisan to use the current invention as a starting point for further experimentation. Even if an active or binding site were identified in the specification, they may not be sufficient, as the ordinary artisan would immediately recognize that an active or binding site must assume the proper three-dimensional configuration to be active, which conformation is dependent upon surrounding residues; therefore substitution of non-essential residues can often destroy activity. The instant disclosure fails to disclose which if any functions of the interferon- α 5 activities will remain or required after the mutation of the polypeptide. It is also unclear what are functions that will be enhanced following the glycosylation of interferon- α 5. Therefore, predicting which variants would retain the functions of the protein is well outside the realm of routine experimentation. Thus, undue amount of experimentation would be required to generate changes/modifications contemplated and yet retain the function of the proteins claimed.

Applicants have not taught how one of skill in the art would use the full scope of polypeptide sequences encompassed by the invention of claims 39-47 and 53. The specification as filed does not sufficiently teach one of skill in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed sequences. The amount of experimentation required to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed sequences would require trial and error experimentation to determine the functional sequences. Given the breadth of claims 39-47 and 53 in light of the unpredictability of the art as determined by the lack of working examples and

Art Unit: 1647

shown by the prior art of record, the level of skill of the artisan, and the lack of guidance provided in the instant specification, it would require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention.

8c. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 39-47 and 53 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 39, 42, 45 and 53 are rejected as being vague and indefinite in the recitation of the term "equivalent position". It is unclear if this means the same SNP change at a different position of SEQ ID NO: 2. Claims 40, 41, 43, 44, 46 and 47 are rejected insofar as they depend on rejected claim 39, 42 and 45.

Claim 53 is rejected as being vague and indefinite in the recitation of the term "substantially the same biological activity as the mature and immature form". It is unclear if this means the activity is same or within a range. It is also unclear what activity is contemplated by the instant invention. Further, it is not clear what the mature or immature forms of the polypeptide encompass.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

9a. Claim 39-47 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) or (e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 299, 877).

The instant invention is drawn to polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 and therapeutic compounds comprising the polypeptide.

Chen et al. disclose the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the instant invention as SEQ ID NO: 11 (see Appendix A). Thus, it will also anticipate 90% - 99% homology of the sequences contemplated in the instant invention. Biological activity is conferred by the sequence of the polypeptide. In addition, therapeutic agents are also contemplated in the reference (column 8, lines 47-65). Thus,

claims 39-47 and 53 are anticipated by Chen et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 299, 877).

9b. Claim 39-47 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Henco et al. (Accession No. P01569, 1985, ref. 6 of PTO1449 submitted 1/13/2004) or Henco et al (J. Mol. Biol. (1985) ref. 4 of PTO1449 submitted 1/13/2004).

The instant invention is drawn to polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 and therapeutic compounds comprising the polypeptide.

Henco et al. disclose the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the instant invention (see Appendix B1-2). Thus, it will also anticipate 90% -99% homology of the sequences and the biological activity is inherent to the sequence. Since the therapeutic agent (claim 53) comprises the polypeptide of the instant invention, the Henco references anticipates claim 53. Thus, claims 39-47 and 53 are anticipated by Henco et al. (Accession No. P01569, 1985, ref. 6 of PTO1449 submitted 1/13/2004) or Henco et al (J. Mol. Biol. (1985) ref. 4 of PTO1449 submitted 1/13/2004).

10. No claims are allowable.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyin whose telephone

Art Unit: 1647

number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JS 12/05



ROBERT S. LANDSMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Copyright GenCore version 5.1.6
(c) 1993 - 2005 Compugen Ltd.

OM protein - protein search, using sw model
Run on: December 15, 2005, 12:49:43 ; Search time 47 Seconds
(without alignments)
332,462 Million cell updates/sec

Title: US-10-698-402-2
Perfect score: 978
Sequence: 1 MALPFVLLMALVNLCKSIC.....EIMNSFSLSANLQERLRKE 189

Scoring table: BLOSUM62
Gapop 10.0 , Gapext 0.5

Searched: 572060 seqs, 82675679 residues

Total number of hits satisfying chosen parameters: 572060

Minimum DB seq length: 0
Maximum DB seq length: 2000000000

Post-processing: Minimum Match 0%
Maximum Match 100%
Listing first 45 summaries

Database : Issued Patents AA:
1: /cggn2_6/ptodata/1/iaa/5 COMB.pep:*

2: /cggn2_6/ptodata/1/iaa/6_COMB.pep:*

3: /cggn2_6/ptodata/1/iaa/H_COMB.pep:*

4: /cggn2_6/ptodata/1/iaa/PCTNS_COMB.pep:*

5: /cggn2_6/ptodata/1/iaa/RE_COMB.pep:*

6: /cggn2_6/ptodata/1/iaa/batchfile1.pep:*

Pred. No. is the number of results predicted by chance to have a score greater than or equal to the score of the result being printed, and is derived by analysis of the total score distribution.

SUMMARIES

Result No.	Score	Query Match	Length	DB ID	Description
1	978	100.0	189	2	US-09-206-935-11
2	978	100.0	189	2	US-09-949-016-8554
3	971	99.3	189	1	US-08-026-758-7
4	969	99.1	189	2	US-09-206-936-11
5	933	95.4	189	1	US-08-026-758-19
6	868	88.8	189	2	US-09-949-016-9682
7	868	88.8	189	2	US-09-949-016-9683
8	868	88.8	189	2	US-09-949-016-9684
9	855	87.4	189	1	US-08-026-758-1
10	852	87.1	189	2	US-07-145-002B-24
11	852	87.1	189	2	US-06-256-204C-24
12	851	87.0	189	2	US-09-206-935-19
13	851	87.0	189	2	US-09-206-936-19
14	851	87.0	189	2	US-07-145-002B-12
15	851	87.0	189	2	US-07-145-002B-16
16	851	87.0	189	2	US-07-145-002B-22
17	851	87.0	189	2	US-06-256-204C-12
18	851	87.0	189	2	US-06-256-204C-16
19	851	87.0	189	2	US-06-256-204C-22
20	851	87.0	189	2	US-09-919-497-73
21	846	86.5	189	2	US-07-145-002B-36
22	846	86.5	189	2	US-06-256-204C-36
23	845	86.4	189	2	US-09-206-935-16
24	845	86.4	189	2	US-09-006-936-16
25	845	86.4	189	2	US-07-145-002B-28
26	845	86.4	189	2	US-06-256-204C-28
27	842	86.1	189	1	US-08-026-758-17

ALIGNMENTS

RESULT 1
US-09-206-935-11
; Sequence 11, Application US/09206935
; Patent No. 6399877
; GENERAL INFORMATION:
; APPLICANT: Chen, Jian
; APPLICANT: Godowski, Paul
; APPLICANT: Wood, William I.
; APPLICANT: Zhang, Dong-Xiao
; TITLE OF INVENTION: NOVEL TYPE I INTERFERONS
; FILE REFERENCE: 11669_50US05
; CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/09/206,935
; CURRENT FILING DATE: 1998-12-07
; EARLIER APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/084,045
; EARLIER FILING DATE: 1998-05-04
; NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 24
; SEQ ID NO: 11
; LENGTH: 189
; TYPE: PRT
; ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
US-09-206-935-11

Query Match 100.0%; Score 978; DB 2; Length 189;
Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 1.4e-104;
Matches 189; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Gaps 0;

Qy 1 MALPPVLLMALVNLCKSICSLGCLPOTHSLSNRRTLIMAQMGRISPPSCLKIDRHDFG 60
Db 1 MALPPVLLMALVNLCKSICSLGCLPOTHSLSNRRTLIMAQMGRISPPSCLKIDRHDFG 60

Qy 1 FPQEFDGNGQFQKAGAISVHEMICQTENLFSKQSSATDETLDKFYTYLYQOQNDLE 120
Db 1 FPQEFDGNGQFQKAGAISVHEMICQTENLFSKQSSATDETLDKFYTYLYQOQNDLE 120

Qy 1 ACMMQEVGVEDTPLMNVDLSITVRKFORTLYLTTEKKYSPCAWEVRAETMRSFLSAN 180
Db 121 ACMMQEVGVEDTPLMNVDLSITVRKFORTLYLTTEKKYSPCAWEVRAETMRSFLSAN 180

Qy 121 LQERLRKE 189
Db 181 LQERLRKE 189

RESULT 2
US-09-949-016-8554
; Sequence 8554, Application US/09949016
; Patent No. 6812349
; GENERAL INFORMATION:
; APPLICANT: VENTER, J. Craig et al.

Copyright (c) 1993 - 2005 Compugen Ltd.	GenCore version 5.1.6									
OM protein - protein search, using bw model										
Run on:	December 15, 2005, 12:49:23 ; Search time 230 Seconds (without alignments)									
Title:	US-10-698-402-2									
Perfect score:	973 Sequence: 1 MAJPFVLLMALVVNCKSTC.....EINRSFSLSANLQERLRKE 189									
Scoring table:	BL03M62 Gapop 10.0 , Gapext 0.5									
Searched:	2166443 seqs, 705528306 residues									
Total number of hits satisfying chosen parameters:	2166443									
Minimum DB seq length: 0										
Maximum DB seq length: 2000000000										
Post-processing: Minimum Match 0%										
Maximum Match 100%										
Database :	UniProt 05.80: 1: uniprot_sprot: 2: uniprot_trembl: Listing first 45 summaries									
Result No.	Score	Query	Match	Length	DB	ID	Description			
1	978	100.0	189	1	IFNA5_HUMAN	P01569	homo sapien	RN [1]	NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE [LARGE SCALE GENOMIC DNA].	RN [2]
2	978	100.0	189	1	Q52LX3_HUMAN	Q52LX3	homo sapien	RP	NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE [LARGE SCALE GENOMIC DNA].	RP
3	853	87.2	189	1	IFN21_HUMAN	P01568	homo sapien	RX	PubMedID=15164033; DOI=10.1038/nature02465;	RX
4	853	87.2	189	2	Q5WBD1_HUMAN	P01570	homo sapien	RA	Humphray S.J., Oliver K., Hunt A.R., Plumb R.W., Loveland J.E., Howe K.L., Andrews T.D., Searle S., Scott C.B., Jones M.C., Almeida R., Ambrose K.D., Ashwell R.I.S., Ainborough R., Babbage S., Baguley C.L., Bailey J., Banerjee R., Barker D.J., Barlow K.F., Bates K., Beasley H., Bird O., Burton J., Carter C., Chapman J.C., Chen Y., Clarke G., Clark S.Y., Clee C.M., Clegg S., Collier R.B., Corby N., Crosier M., Cummings A.T., Davies J., Dhami M., Dunn M., Dutta I., Dyer L.W., Earmshaw M.E., Faulkner L., Fleming C.J., Frankish A., Frankland J.A., French L., Fricker D.G., Garner P., Garnett J., Ghori J., Gilbert J.G.R., Gilson C., Grafton D.V., Griddle S., Griffiths C., Griffiths-Jones S., Grocock R., Guy J., Hall R.E., Hammond S., Harley J.L., Harrison B.S.I., Hart E.A., Heath P.D., Henderson C.D., Hopkins B.L., Howard P.J., Huckle E.E., Johnson C., Johnson D., Joy A.A., Kay M., Keenan S., Kershaw J.K., Kimberley A.M., King A., Knights A., Laird G.K., Langford C., Lawlor S., Leengamornlert D.A., Leverton R., Lloyd C., Lloyd D.M., Lovall J., Martin S., Mashreghi-Mohammadi M., Matthews L., McLaren S., McElroy K.E., McMurtry A., Milne S., Nickerson T., Nisbett J., Nordiek G., Pearce A.V., Peck J., Porter K.M., Pandian S., Pelan S., Polkinghorne B., Povey S., Ramsey Y., Rand V., Scharfe M., Sehra H.K., Shownkeen R., Sims S.K., Skuse C.D., Smith M., Steward C.A., Swartreck D., Sycares N., Teeter J., Thorpe A., Tracy A., Tronians A., Thomas D.W., Wall M., Wallis J.M., West A.P., Whitchurch S.L., Willey D.L., Williams S.A., Wilming L., Wray P.N., Young L., Ashurst J.L., Coulson A., Blocker H., Durbin R.,	RA
5	845	86.4	189	1	IFN14_HUMAN	P01571	homo sapien	RA		RA
6	845	86.4	189	1	Q5VZ56_HUMAN	P01572	homo sapien	RA		RA
7	838	85.7	189	1	IFNA6_HUMAN	P01573	homo sapien	RA		RA
8	838	85.7	189	2	Q5YQ01_HUMAN	P01574	homo sapien	RA		RA
9	832	85.1	189	2	Q5SLB8_HUMAN	P01575	homo sapien	RA		RA
10	830	84.9	189	1	IFNA4_HUMAN	P01576	homo sapien	RA		RA
11	830	84.9	189	2	Q5VY15_HUMAN	P01577	homo sapien	RA		RA
12	829	84.8	181	2	Q14608_HUMAN	P01578	homo sapien	RA		RA
13	811	82.9	189	1	IFNA1_HUMAN	P01562	homo sapien	RA		RA
14	828	84.7	189	2	Q5VYQ2_HUMAN	P01579	homo sapien	RA		RA
15	821	83.9	189	1	IFN17_HUMAN	P01571	homo sapien	RA		RA
16	821	83.9	189	2	Q5VZ53_HUMAN	P01580	homo sapien	RA		RA
17	820	83.8	189	1	IFN10_HUMAN	P01565	homo sapien	RA		RA
18	820	83.8	189	1	Q5VY13_HUMAN	P01573	homo sapien	RA		RA
19	811	82.9	189	2	Q9J778_SAGOB	P01567	sacculus oe	RA		RA
20	809	82.5	188	2	QEDJXB_HUMAN	P01574	homo sapien	RA		RA
21	806	82.5	188	1	IFNA2_HUMAN	P01563	homo sapien	RA		RA
22	805	82.3	189	1	IFN16_HUMAN	P05015	homo sapien	RA		RA
23	805	82.3	189	2	Q5VY12_HUMAN	P01572	homo sapien	RA		RA
24	795	81.3	189	1	IFNA7_HUMAN	P01567	homo sapien	RA		RA
25	795	81.3	189	2	Q5VY14_HUMAN	P01574	homo sapien	RA		RA
26	794	81.2	189	1	Q14618_HUMAN	P01561	homo sapien	RA		RA
27	792	81.0	189	2	Q9J577_SAGOB	P01575	saginus oe	RA		RA
28	778	79.6	189	1	IFNA8_HUMAN	P05281	homo sapien	RA		RA
29	778	79.6	189	2	Q5VYQ3_HUMAN	P01573	homo sapien	RA		RA
30	773	79.0	174	2	QB8UJT_SAISC	P05006	homo sapien	RA		RA
31	742	75.9	184	1	IFNA4_HORSE	P05006	equus cabal	RA		RA

RA Sulston J.E., Hubbard T., Jackson M.J., Bentley D.R., Beck S.,
 RA Rogers J., Dunham I.;
 RT "DNA sequence and analysis of human chromosome 9 .";
 RN [3]
 RP NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE OF 57-189.
 TISSUE= spleen;
 RX MEDLINE=81148795; PubMed=61630083;
 PA Goeddel, D.V.; Leung, D.W.; Dull, T.J.; Gross, M.; Lawn, R.M.,
 RA McCandless, R.; Seburg, P.H.; Ulrich, A.; Yelverton, E.; Gray, P.W.;
 RT "The structure of eight distinct cloned human leukocyte interferon
 cDNAs .";
 PT CDNA .;
 RL Nature 290:20-26(1981).
 RN [4]
 PROTEIN SEQUENCE OF 22-36.
 RX PubMed=15340161; DOI=10.1111/j.1365-2742.2004.00682504.x;
 RA Zhang Z., Henzel, W.J.;
 RT "Signal peptide prediction based on analysis of experimentally
 verified cleavage sites .";
 RL Protein Sci. 13:2819-2824(2004).
 I- FUNCTION: Produced by macrophages, IFN-alpha have antiviral
 activities. Interferon stimulates the production of two enzymes: a
 protein kinase and an oligoadenylate synthetase.
 I- SUBCELLULAR LOCATION: Secreted.
 I- SIMILARITY: Belongs to the alpha/beta interferon family.
 CC This Swiss-Prot entry is copyright. It is produced through a collaboration
 between the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics and the EMBL outstation
 at the European Bioinformatics Institute. There are no restrictions on its
 use as long as its content is in no way modified and this statement is not
 removed.
 CC EMBL: X02956; CBA26702.1; -; Genomic DNA.
 DR HGNC; HGNC15426; IFN-X.
 EMBL: V00541; CAA23802.1; -; Genomic_DNA.
 PIR: S43716; IFNUA7.
 HSSP: P01563; IITF7.
 SMR: P01568; 24-189.
 Ensemble: ENSG0000147873; Homo sapiens.
 DR HGNC; HGNC15426; IFN-X.
 GO: GO:0005126; F:hematopoietin/interferon-class (D200-domain. . . ; TAS.
 DR PANTHER: PTHR11691; Interferon abd.
 DR IPR000471; Interferon abd.
 DR PFam: PF00143; Interferon; 1.
 DR PRINTS: PR0266; INTERFERONAB.
 DR ProDom: PD000550; Interferon_abd; 1.
 DR PROSITE: PS00232; INTERFERON_B_D; 1.
 KW Multigene family; Cytokine; Direct protein sequencing;
 KW Signal; 1
 PT CHAIN 22 189 Interferon alpha-5.
 PT DISULPID 24 122 By similarity.
 PT DISULPID 52 162 By similarity.
 SQ SEQUENCE 189 AA; 21942 MW; C605992FB2E78043 CRC64;

Query Match 100.0%; Score 970; DB 1; Length 189;
 Best Local Similarity 100.0%; Pred. No. 1.e-76;
 Matches 189; Conservative 0; Mismatches 0; Indels 0; Gaps 0;

QY 1 MALPFLVLMALVVLVNLCKSICSLGCDLPQTISLSNRTRIMMAQNGRISPFSCLKDRHDFG 60
 Db 1 MALPFLVLMALVVLVNLCKSICSLGCDLPQTISLSNRTRIMMAQNGRISPFSCLKDRHDFG 60

QY 61 FPQEEDGNQFOKAQAOISVHEMTCQTENLFSTKDSATWDETLLDKFETLYQQLNDLE 120
 Db 61 FPQEEDGNQFOKAQAOISVHEMTCQTENLFSTKDSATWDETLLDKFETLYQQLNDLE 120

QY 121 ACMQEVGVDTPLMVDSLTURKYFORITLYTEKKYSPCAWVBRAIMSFSLSAN 180
 Db 121 ACMQEVGVDTPLMVDSLTURKYFORITLYTEKKYSPCAWVBRAIMSFSLSAN 180

QY 181 LQERLRKE 189